arXiv:1408.5531v2 [math.AG] 19 Sep 2014

AMPLITUHEDRON CELLS AND STANLEY SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

THOMAS LAM

Abstract. The amplituhedron was recently introduced in the study of scattering amplitudes in $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills. We compute the cohomology class of a tree amplituhedron subvariety of the Grassmannian to be the truncation of an affine Stanley symmetric function.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ denote the Grassmannian of k-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It has a stratification by *positroid varieties* Π_f [Pos, KLS], where f ranges over the finite set $\mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ of (k,n)-bounded affine permutations (defined in Section 4). Each positroid variety is the intersection of n cyclically rotated Schubert varieties. In [KLS], Knutson, Lam, and Speyer identified the cohomology class of a positroid variety with the *affine Stanley symmetric function* $\tilde{F}_f$ [Lam].

The totally nonnegative part $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_{\geq 0}$ of the real Grassmannian is the locus where all Plücker coordinates take nonnegative values [Lus, Pos], and was studied extensively by Postnikov. Arkani-Hamed and Trnka [AT], motivated by the study of scattering amplitudes in $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills, proposed that $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_{\geq 0}$ should be considered a Grassmannian-analogue of a simplex. Arbitrary convex polytopes are images of simplices under affine or linear maps, and Arkani-Hamed and Trnka proposed to study the *amplituhedron*[1]: the image of the totally nonnegative Grassmannian induced by a linear map $Z : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{k+m}$ (which in turn gives a rational map $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}} : \mathrm{Gr}(k,n) \to \mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m)$). In addition, physical considerations suggested the study of triangulations of the amplituhedron, obtained as unions of images of the positroid cells $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0} := \Pi_f \cap \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_{\geq 0}$, again under the map Z_{Gr}. Specifically, the *scattering amplitude* can be obtained by summing differential forms over cells of a triangulation of the amplituhedron.

The behavior of positroid cells under the map Z_{Gr} exhibit a number of features not present in usual convex geometry, including:

(1) Even when Z is generic, the image $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0})$ may not have the expected dimension. For example, even if $\dim((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}) = \dim(\mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m))$ we may have $\dim(Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0})) < \dim(\mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m))$ for generic Z.

T.L. was supported by NSF grant DMS-1160726.

[1] In this paper we shall only consider the "tree" amplituhedron, leaving the "loop" amplituhedron for later work.

(2) The map $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}|_{((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0})} : ((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}) \to Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0})$ can be dimension-preserving, but have degree d greater than one.

In this paper, we study the complex geometry of the behavior of the stratification $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n) = \bigcup_f \Pi_f$ under the map Z_{Gr}, from a Schubert calculus perspective. Let Y_f denote the closure of the image of Π_f under Z_{Gr}. We call Y_f an *amplituhedron variety* in the case that it has the same dimension as Π_f.

Recall that the cohomology[2] ring $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n),\mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with a quotient of the ring of symmetric functions, and that the basis of Schubert classes correspond to the Schur functions s_λ, labeled by partitions $\lambda \subseteq (n-k)^k$ that fit inside a $k\times(n-k)$ rectangle. Let $\ell = n-k-m$. For $\mu \subseteq (m)^k$ we let $\mu^{+\ell} \subseteq (n-k)^k$ be the partition obtained from μ by adding ℓ columns of height k to the left of μ. For example, with $\ell = 2$ and $k = 4$, we may have

$\mu =$ $\qquad\qquad \mu^+ =$

Given $f = \sum_{\lambda\subset(n-k)^k} c_\lambda s_\lambda$ representing a cohomology class in $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$, we define the *truncation* $\tau_{k+m}(f) \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m))$ by

$$\tau_{k+m}(f) = \sum_{\mu\subseteq(m)^k} c_{\mu^{+\ell}} s_\mu.$$

Let d_f denote the degree of the map $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}|_{\Pi_f} : \Pi_f \to Y_f$.

Theorem 1.1. *The cohomology class of the amplituhedron variety Y_f is equal to $\frac{1}{d_f}\tau_{k+m}(\tilde{F}_f)$.*

We also prove that $\tau_{k+m}(\tilde{F}_f) = 0$ if and only if $\dim Y_f < \dim \Pi_f$. As a corollary, we deduce a criterion for $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0})$ to have the same dimension as $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}$, which corresponds to the physical notion of "kinematical support". We also obtain some estimates on the degree d_f. We present a number of possible further directions in Section 5.

Acknowledgments. My understanding of the amplituhedron owes a lot to Nima Arkani-Hamed and Jara Trnka, who have taught me much through many conversations. I thank Jake Bourjaily for discussions related to scattering amplitudes, and for his "positroids" package in Mathematica. I benefited from discussions with Allen Knutson and Alex Postnikov, and am especially grateful to David Speyer for a number of helpful comments.

Much of what I understand about scattering amplitudes was learnt during a reading seminar with Henriette Elvang, Yu-tin Huang, Cindy Keeler, Tim Olson, Sam Roland, and David Speyer. I thank them all for teaching me this subject.

[2]Henceforth, we shall always take cohomologies with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients.

2. Projection maps and Schubert varieties

We fix positive integers n, k, m satisfying $n > k+m$, and we let $\ell = n-k-m$. Let $\mathrm{Mat}(n, k+m)$ denote the space of $n \times (k+m)$ matrices, and let $\mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$ denote the open subset of full rank $n \times (k+m)$ matrices. We think of $Z \in \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$ as a linear map $Z : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{k+m}$. The map Z induces a rational map $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}} : \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \to \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$ given by $X \mapsto X \cdot Z$. The *exceptional locus* E_Z of Z_{Gr} is the subset of $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ where the map Z_{Gr} is not defined:

$$E_Z = \{X \in \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \mid X \cap \ker(Z) \neq (0)\}.$$

Here $\ker(Z) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is the usual kernel of a linear map. The exceptional locus E_Z is in fact a Schubert variety which has codimension $m+1$.

Lemma 2.1. *The morphism $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}} : \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \setminus E_Z \to \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $\mathbb{C}^{k(n-k-m)}$.*

Proof. We use the $GL(n)$ actions on Z and on $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ to reduce to the case that Z is the orthogonal projection of $\mathrm{span}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n)$ onto $\mathrm{span}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{k+m})$. Then the map Z_{Gr} looks like

$$(Y|*) \mapsto Y$$

where Y is a $k \times (k+m)$ matrix representing a point in $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$, and $*$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}^{k(n-k-m)}$ fiber. □

We use the notation $[a] := \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$. Let $I \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ be a k-element subset of $[n]$. Let $F_\bullet = \{0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots F_{n-1} \subset F_n = \mathbb{C}^n\}$ be a flag in $\mathbb{C}^n$, so that $\dim F_i = i$. The Schubert variety $X_I(F_\bullet)$ is given by

$$X_I(F_\bullet) = \{X \in \mathrm{Gr}(k, n) \mid \dim(X \cap F_j) \geq \#(I \cap [n-j+1, n]) \text{ for all } j \in [n]\}. \tag{1}$$

Thus $X_{[k]}(F_\bullet) = \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)$ and $\mathrm{codim}(X_I(F_\bullet)) = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k - (1+2+\cdots+k)$, where $I = \{i_1, i_2 \ldots, i_k\}$. Here and elsewhere, we always mean complex (co)dimension when referring to complex subvarieties.

Let $G_\bullet$ be a flag in $\mathbb{C}^{k+m}$. Then $Z^{-1}(G_\bullet)$ is the partial flag

$$Z^{-1}(G_\bullet) := \{\ker(Z) = Z^{-1}(G_0) \subset Z^{-1}(G_1) \subset \cdots \subset Z^{-1}(G_{k+m}) = Z^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{k+m}) = \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

of subspaces with successive dimensions $n-(k+m), n-(k+m)+1, \ldots, n$. We denote by $Y_J(G_\bullet)$ a Schubert variety in $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$, where J is a k-element subset of $[k+m]$. A full-flag extension of $Z^{-1}(G_\bullet)$ is simply any flag $F_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose $n-(k+m), n-(k+m)+1, \ldots, n$-dimensional pieces give $Z^{-1}(G_\bullet)$.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$\overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y_I(G_\bullet))} = X_I(F_\bullet)$$

where $F_\bullet$ is any full-flag extension of $Z^{-1}(G_\bullet)$, and $I \subset [k+m]$ is considered a subset of $[n]$ via the natural inclusion $[k+m] = \{1, 2, \ldots, k+m\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} = [n]$.

Proof. Suppose $X \in Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y_I(G_\bullet))$. Then $\dim(Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(X) \cap G_j) \geq \#(I \cap [k+m-j+1, k+m])$, and so $\dim(X \cap F_{j+n-k-m}) \geq \#(I \cap [k+m-j+1, n])$ for all $j \in [1, k+m]$. That is, $\dim(X \cap F_{j'}) \geq \#(I \cap [n-j'+1, n])$ for all $j' \in [n-k-m+1, n]$. Since membership in $X_I(F_\bullet)$ imposes no condition on $X \cap F_{j'}$ for $j' \in [1, n-k-m]$, we conclude that $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y_I(G_\bullet)) \subset X_I(F_\bullet)$. But using Lemma 2.1, we see that $\overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y_I(G_\bullet))}$ and $X_I(F_\bullet)$ are closed irreducible subvarieties of $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ of the same dimension, and so must be identical. □

If $J = \{m+1, m+2, \ldots, k+m\}$ then $Y_J(G_\bullet)$ is a single point $Y = G_k \in \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$. Lemma 2.2 then says that $\overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y)} = \mathrm{Gr}(k, Z^{-1}(Y))$ is a subGrassmannian of $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$.

3. Cohomology class of a projection

We shall need the following version of Kleiman transversality.

Theorem 3.1 ([Kle, Corollary 4])**.** *Assume the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. Let X be an integral algebraic scheme with a transitive action of an algebraic group G. Let $Y, Z \subset X$ be integral subschemes. Then*

1. *There exists a dense subset $U \subset G$ such that for $g \in U$, the intersection $gY \cap Z$ is proper, that is, each component has dimension $\dim(Y) + \dim(Z) - \dim(X)$.*
2. *If in addition Y and Z are smooth, then U can be chosen so that for all $g \in U$, the subschemes gY and Z intersect transversally, that is, the intersection $gY \cap Z$ is smooth and each component has dimension $\dim(Y) + \dim(Z) - \dim(X)$.*

We remark that if gY and Z intersect transverally then the intersection $gY \cap Z$, being smooth, must be contained in the smooth locus of both gY and Z. We shall also need the following technical result which appears in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2 ([Kle, Lemma 1])**.** *Assume the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. Consider a diagram with integral algebraic schemes:*



1. *Assume q is flat. Then, there exists a dense open subset U of S such that for each point $s \in U$, either the fibered product, $p^{-1}(s) \times_X Z$, is empty or it is equidimensional and its dimension is given by the formula,*
$$\dim(p^{-1}(s) \times_X Z) = \dim(p^{-1}(s)) + \dim(Z) - \dim(X).$$
2. *Assume q is flat with smooth fibers. Assume Z is smooth. Then $p^{-1}(s) \times_X Z$ is smooth for each point s in an open dense subset of S.*

Let $W \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ be an irreducible subvariety. For $Z \in \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$, we define

$$\tau_Z(W) := \overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(W \setminus E_Z)}.$$

For a generic Z, the subscheme $W \setminus E_Z$ is irreducible and dense in W. Thus $\tau_Z(W)$ is itself an irreducible subvariety. There is a $GL(n)$-action on $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ and a $GL(n)$-action on $\mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$. We choose compatible conventions so that $gE_{Z_0} = E_{gZ_0}$.

Let $Y_I = Y_I(G_\bullet) \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m)$ be a Schubert subvariety. For $Z \in \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$ a full-rank matrix, let $X_I^Z = \overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y_I)} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ be as in Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Fix $W \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ an irreducible subvariety, $Y_I \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m)$ a Schubert variety, satisfying $\dim(W) + \dim(Y_I) = km$. Then there exists a Zariski-open subset $U \subset \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$ such that:*

1. *for all $Z \in U$, we have $W \setminus E_Z$ is open and dense in W;*
2. (a) *either for all $Z \in U$ we have $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) < \dim(W)$ and $\tau_Z(W) \cap Y_I = \emptyset$,*
   (b) *or for all $Z \in U$ we have $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) = \dim(W)$, the intersection $\tau_Z(W) \cap Y_I$ is transversal, and all intersection points lie in the locus inside $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(W \setminus E_Z)$ where the map $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}|_{W\setminus E_Z} : W \setminus E_Z \to Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(W \setminus E_Z)$ has fibers of cardinality exactly d_Z, where d_Z is the degree of the map $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}|_{W\setminus E_Z}$. Furthermore, d_Z is constant for all $Z \in U$.*
3. *for all $Z \in U$, we have W intersects X_I^Z transversally, and all intersection points lie in $W \setminus E_Z$.*

Proof. In the following, we shall use the fact that a morphism between irreducible varieties is generically flat, and a morphism between smooth irreducible varieties is generically smooth. (Here all varieties are over $\mathbb{C}$.) Similar results are used throughout [Kle], and we refer the reader there for precise references.

For a fixed full-rank Z_0, by Theorem 3.1, there exists an open subset $V \subset GL(n)$ such that W and gE_{Z_0} intersect properly for any $g \in V$. By dimension considerations we will have $W \setminus gE_{Z_0}$ is open and dense in W for any $g \in V$. But $gE_{Z_0} = E_{gZ_0}$. The map $g \mapsto gZ_0$ gives a surjective map from $GL(n)$ to $\mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$. It follows that the image of V contains a Zariski open subset $U_1 \subset \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$.

Define $L \subset W \times U_1$ by

$$L := \{(X,Z) \mid X \notin E_Z\}.$$

Obviously L is an irreducible and open subset of $W \times U_1$, and the fiber of L over $Z \in U_1$ is $W \setminus E_Z$. Define $\mu : L \to \mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m)$ by $\mu(X,Z) = X \cdot Z \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m)$. Define

$$S := (\mu \times \mathrm{id})(L) = \{\mu(X,Z), Z \mid (X,Z) \in L\} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m) \times U_1.$$

Obviously, S is an irreducible subset of $\mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m) \times U_1$. We now assume that $\dim(S) = \dim(L)$ so that for Z in a dense open subset of U_1, we have $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) = \dim(W)$, and we are in case (b) of part (2) of the Lemma. (The case where $\dim(S) < \dim(L)$ is easier since one expects $\tau_Z(W)$ and Y_I not to intersect.) Let d be the degree

of the map $\mu \times \mathrm{id} : L \to S$. For a dense open subset $S' \subset S$, the fiber $(\mu \times \mathrm{id})^{-1}(s)$ for $s \in S'$ will have exactly d points.

Let $\bar{S}$ denote the closure of S in $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m) \times U_1$. Over an open subset $U_2 \subset U_1$, the map $p : \bar{S} \to U_2$ will be flat, and the fiber over $Z \in U_2$ will be reduced and equal to $\tau_Z(W)$, and all these fibers will have the same dimension. By shrinking U_2 if necessary, we may assume that for $Z \in U_2$, the fiber $\tau'_Z(W) := p^{-1}(Z) \cap S'$ is an open dense subset of $\tau_Z(W)$ that is contained in $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(W \setminus E)$, with the additional property that for $Y \in \tau'_Z(W)$, the fiber $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}^{-1}(Y)$ has cardinality equal to exactly d. Let $T = p^{-1}(U_2) \cap S' \subset S$. By replacing T by its smooth locus, and shrinking U_2 if necessary, we may in addition assume that T is smooth.

For $h \in G = GL(k+m)$, we have $E_Z = E_{Z \cdot h}$ and $X \cdot (Z \cdot h) = h(X \cdot Z)$. Thus if the above properties hold for $U_2 \subset \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$ and $T \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m) \times \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$, they also hold for $U_2 \cdot h$ and $T \cdot h$ (where $h \in G$ sends (Y, Z) to $(hY, Z \cdot h)$). So we may assume that U_2 and T are closed under the G-action.

Consider the natural map $q : T \to \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$. Since T is smooth and irreducible, q is flat with smooth fibers over a dense subset V of $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$. The map q commutes with the actions of G on T' and on $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$. Since G acts transitively on $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$, the translations gV for $g \in G$ obviously cover $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$, and it follows that q is flat over $\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$.

Now we apply Lemma 3.2(1,2) to the family



where $\iota : Y_I^{\mathrm{sm}} \to \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$ is the inclusion of the smooth locus Y_I^{sm}. We deduce that there is a dense open subset $U_3 \subset U_2$ such that for each $Z \in U_3$, we have that $\tau'_Z(W)$ and Y_I^{sm} intersect transversally.

To finish obtaining the statement of (2)(b), it remains to show that we can find $U_4 \subset U_3$ so that for $Z \in U_4$, we have $\tau_Z(W) \cap Y_I = \tau'_Z(W) \cap Y_I^{\mathrm{sm}}$. That is, there are no intersection points in $\tau_Z(W) \setminus \tau'_Z(W)$ or $Y_I \setminus Y_I^{\mathrm{sm}}$. To do so, we repeat the argument (using Lemma 3.2(1)) for the family $(\bar{S} \setminus T) \to U_2$ and the inclusion $\iota : Y_I \to \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m)$. The typical fiber of $(\bar{S} \setminus T) \to U_2$ has lower dimension than $\tau_Z(W)$ since $\tau'_Z(W)$ is open dense in $\tau_Z(W)$. Thus we expect $\tau_Z(W) \setminus \tau'_Z(W)$ not to intersect Y_I. We deduce that there exists a dense open subset of U_3 where all the intersection points of $\tau_Z(W)$ and Y_I lie in $\tau'_Z(W) \cap Y_I$. Repeating the argument, we can also find a dense open subset of U_3 such that the intersection points of $\tau_Z(W)$ and Y_I lie in $\tau_Z(W) \cap Y_I^{\mathrm{sm}}$. Thus we can find $U_4 \subset \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2) of the Lemma.

Finally, the condition (3) holds in an open subset $U' \subset \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$: the argument here only requires applying Theorem 3.1(1,2). We then set $U := U' \cap U_4$. □

An irreducible subvariety $W \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ of complex codimension d has a cohomology class $[W] \in H^{2d}(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$, which must be non-zero. Transverse intersections allow one to compute products in cohomology.

Theorem 3.4 ([Ful, Appendix B])**.** *Let X be a nonsingular variety. Let $Y, Z \subset X$ be closed irreducible subvarieties. Suppose Y and Z intersect transversally. Then we have*

$$[Y] \cdot [Z] = [Y \cap Z]$$

in the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$.

When $Y \cap Z$ is a finite set of r (reduced) points, we have $[Y \cap Z] = r[\mathrm{pt}] \in H^*(X)$.

Let $E_\bullet$ be the standard flag in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The cohomology ring $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$ vanishes in odd degrees, and the set $\{[X_I(E_\bullet)] \mid \mathrm{codim}(X_I) = d\}$ of Schubert classes forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H^{2d}(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$.

Recall that $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the ring Λ of symmetric functions by an ideal $I_{k,n}$ (see [Ful]). Under this identification, we have

$$[X_I] = s_{\lambda(I)}$$

where $\lambda(I) = (i_k - k, i_{k-1} - (k-1), \ldots, i_1 - 1)$, and s_λ denotes a Schur function. Thus $[\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)] = s_{(0)}$ and $[\mathrm{pt}] = s_{(n-k)^k}$. Let λ^c denote the 180 degree rotation of the complement of λ inside the $(n-k)^k$ rectangle. Then $\lambda^c(J) = \lambda(I)$ where $I = J^c := \{(n+1) - j \mid j \in J\}$. Inside $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$, we have the equality

$$s_\lambda \, s_\mu = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = \lambda^c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

for $|\lambda| + |\mu| = k(n-k)$. To summarize, a class $\sigma \in H^{2r}(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$ is determined by calculating $\sigma \, s_\mu$ for all μ satisfying $|\mu| = k(n-k) - r$.

Let $W \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$ be an irreducible subvariety. Recall that in Section 1, we defined the truncation $\tau_{k+m}([W]) \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m))$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $U_I \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, k+m)$ denote the Zariski-open subset of Lemma 3.3 for Y_I, and let $U = \bigcap_I U_I$ where the intersection is over all I such that $\dim(W) + \dim(Y_I) = km$.*

1. *If $\tau_{k+m}([W]) = 0$ then $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) < \dim(W)$ for all $Z \in U$.*
2. *If $\tau_{k+m}([W]) \neq 0$ then for all $Z \in U$, we have $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) = \dim(W)$ and*

$$[\tau_Z(W)] = \frac{1}{d} \tau_{k+m}([W])$$

where d is the degree of $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}|_{W \setminus E_Z}$.

Proof. Suppose $Z \in U$. If $\tau_{k+m}([W]) \neq 0$ then by condition (3) of Lemma 3.3, we can find $I \in \binom{[k+m]}{k}$ satisfying $\dim(W) + \dim(Y_I) = km$ so that X_I^Z intersects $W \setminus E$ in a non-zero number of points. The image of these points under Z_{Gr} lie in $\tau_Z(W) \cap Y_I$, and since this intersection is transverse, we must have $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) = \dim(W)$. For each I, we have that $\tau_Z(W)$ intersects Y_I transversally in a finite number of points r_I. Also W intersects X_I^Z transversally in a finite number of points s_I, and from the conditions of Lemma 3.3, we deduce that $s_I = dr_I$ from Lemma 2.2. Let $\lambda(I)^c$ be the complement of $\lambda(I)$ in the $k \times m$ rectangle. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that the coefficient of $s_{\lambda(I)^c}$ in $[\tau_Z(W)]$ is equal to r_I which is equal to $1/d$ times the coefficient of $s_{(\lambda(I)^c)^{+\ell}}$ in $[W]$. Claim (2) follows.

Now suppose $\tau_{k+m}([W]) = 0$. Then by a similar argument, we deduce that $\tau_Z(W)$ does not intersect any Y_I. This is impossible if $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) = \dim(W)$ since $[\tau_Z(W)]$ has a non-zero cohomology class and the intersections $\tau_Z(W) \cap Y_I$ are transversal. It follows that $\tau_{k+m}([W]) = 0$ implies that $\dim(\tau_Z(W)) < \dim(W)$. Claim (1) follows. □

4. Amplituhedron varieties and affine Stanley symmetric functions

4.1. **Affine Stanley symmetric functions.** Let W_n denote the affine Coxeter group of type A, with generators $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$, and relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= 1 \\ s_i s_j &= s_j s_i && \text{if } |i-j| > 1 \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

where all indices are taken modulo n. The *length* $\ell(w)$ of $w \in W_n$ is the length of the shortest expression of w as a product of the s_i.

An element $v \in W_n$ is called *cyclically decreasing* if it has a reduced word $v = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}$ such that $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$ are distinct, and if both i and $i+1$ occur then $i+1$ occurs before i. For example, $s_4 s_3 s_1 s_0 s_6$ is cyclically decreasing if $n = 7$. A cyclically decreasing factorization of v is a factorization $v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_r$ where $\ell(v) = \ell(v_1) + \ell(v_2) + \cdots + \ell(v_r)$ and each v_i is cyclically decreasing. For $v \in W_n$, we define the *affine Stanley symmetric function*

$$\tilde{F}_v(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = \sum_{v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_r} x_1^{\ell(v_1)} x_2^{\ell(v_2)} \cdots x_r^{\ell(v_r)}.$$

In [Lam] it is shown that $\tilde{F}_v$ is a symmetric function.

An affine permutation is a bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

(1) $f(i+n) = f(i) + n$
(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(i) - i) = kn$

A (k,n)-*bounded affine permutation* is an affine permutation satisfying

$$i \leq f(i) \leq i + n.$$

We denote the (finite) set of (k,n)-bounded affine permutations by $\mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$. The group W_n acts on the set of affine permutations on the right, with s_i acting by swapping $f(i+rn)$ and $f(i+rn+1)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $f_0 : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ denote the bounded affine permutation given by $f_0(i) = i+k$. Each bounded affine permutation f has an expression as $f_0 s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} = f_0 v$ for $v \in W_n$. The length $\ell(f)$ of f is declared to be equal to the length of v. We define $\tilde{F}_f := \tilde{F}_v$.

4.2. **The cohomology class of a positroid variety.** Let $X \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)$. Pick a $k \times n$ matrix representing X, with columns $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$, and using $v_i = v_{i+n}$ we define v_i for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define a function $f_X : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$f_X(i) = \min_{j \geq i} \left(v_i \in \mathrm{span}(v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \ldots, v_j) \right).$$

Note that if $v_i = 0$ then $f_X(i) = i$. It is not too hard to show [KLS, Pos] that $f_X \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$.

Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$. We define the open positroid variety

$$\mathring{\Pi}_f := \{X \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n) \mid f_X = f\}$$

and the positroid variety $\Pi_f := \overline{\mathring{\Pi}_f}$. We have a decomposition $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n) = \sqcup_{f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)} \mathring{\Pi}_f$. Let $\tilde{F}_f \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$ be the image of the affine Stanley symmetric function in the quotient $\Lambda / I_{k,n} \simeq H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$.

Theorem 4.1 ([KLS])**.** *We have* $[\Pi_f] = \tilde{F}_f \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$.

We define the *truncated affine Stanley symmetric function* to be $\tau_{k+m}(\tilde{F}_f)$, where $\tilde{F}_f$ is thought of as an element of $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$.

4.3. **The main theorem.** Let $f \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n)$ be a (k,n)-bounded affine permutation, and Π_f be the positroid variety labeled by f [Pos, KLS]. For a general Z, we define

$$Y_f := \overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(\Pi_f \setminus E_Z)}$$

to be the closure of the image of $\Pi_f \setminus E_Z$ under Z_{Gr}. Obviously Y_f depends on Z, but we will suppress this from the notation. Define Z_f to be $Z_f = Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}|_{\Pi_f \setminus E_Z} : (\Pi_f \setminus E_Z) \to Y_f$.

Our main result follows from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.5 applied to $W = \Pi_f$.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a Zariski-open set* $U \subset \mathrm{Mat}(n, k+m)$ *such that*

(1) *if* $\tau_{k+m}([\Pi_f]) = 0$ *then* $\dim(Y_f) < \dim(\Pi_f)$ *for all* $Z \in U$*, and*
(2) *if* $\tau_{k+m}([\Pi_f]) \neq 0$ *then for all* $Z \in U$*, we have* $\dim(Y_f) = \dim(\Pi_f)$ *and*

$$[Y_f] = \frac{1}{d} \tau_{k+m}([\Pi_f]) \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k, k+m))$$

where $d = \deg(Z_f)$ *is the degree of* Z_f*, which is constant for all* $Z \in U$.

Definition 4.3. *If* $\dim Y_f = \dim \Pi_f$ *for a general* Z*, then we declare* Y_f *to be an* amplituhedron variety*, and say that the affine permutation* f *has* kinematical support*.*

From now on, Y_f, Z_f and $\deg(Z_f)$ will always refer to an amplituhedron variety, the corresponding map and its degree, for $Z \in U$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let* f *be a* (k,n)*-bounded affine permutation. Then* f *has kinematical support if and only if for some partition* λ *satisfying* $\ell^k \subseteq \lambda \subseteq (n-k)^k$*, the coefficient of* s_λ *in the affine Stanley symmetric function* $\tilde{F}_f$ *is non-zero.*

When $\dim(\Pi_f) = km$, this says that f has kinematical support if and only if s_{ℓ^k} appears in $\tilde{F}_f$ with non-zero coefficient. In this case, the coefficient of s_{ℓ^k} in $\tilde{F}_f$ can be computed using the affine Pieri rule for the flag variety (see Remark 4.10).

Example 4.5. *Let* $k = 2$*,* $m = 4$*, and* $n = 8$*. Suppose* $f = [4,3,6,5,8,7,10,9] \in Bound(2,8)$*, which can be written as* $f = f_0 s_1 s_3 s_5 s_7$*. Then from the definitions we have* $\tilde{F}_f = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i)^4$*. The coefficient of* $s_{(2,2)}$ *in* $\tilde{F}_f$ *is equal to* 2*. So* f *has kinematical support and the map* $Z_f : (\Pi_f \setminus E_Z) \to Y_f$ *has degree* 2*.*

In a similar manner we can easily produce maps Z_f *of arbitrarily high finite degree.*

Remark 4.6. *Each positroid variety* Π_f *comes from a canonical meromorphic top-form* ω_{Π_f}*. If* f *has kinematical support then* Z_f *is generically finite and we can define a canonical form* ω_{Y_f} *by pushing forward the canonical form* ω_{Π_f} *of the positroid variety. See* [Lam+].

Remark 4.7. *If* $m = 4$ *and* $\dim Y_f = \dim \Pi_f = 4k = \dim \mathrm{Gr}(k, k+4)$*, then our notion of kinematical support essentially agrees with the notion from the theory of scattering amplitudes* [ABGPT]*, though we caution that the work* [ABGPT] *is mostly set in "momentum space", while the present work is set in "momentum-twistor space". Physically, it is clear that when considering amplituhedron cells of dimension* $4k$*, one should restrict to those cells with kinematical support.*

4.4. **Degree of** Z_f**.** For the cells Y_f of dimension km that are used to triangulate the amplituhedron, we have an easy criterion for the degree. For $m = 4$, this is presumably the same combinatorial criterion discussed in [ABGPT], after translating from "momentum space" to "momentum-twistor space".

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose* $\dim(\Pi_f) = km$*. Then the degree of* Z_f *is the coefficient of* s_{ℓ^k} *in* $\tilde{F}_f$*, if this coefficient is positive. If this coefficient is 0, then* f *does not have kinematical support.*

Problem 4.9. *Let* k *and* m *be fixed, and allow* n *to vary. Is there a uniform bound on* d_f *for all* f *with kinematical support?*

Remark 4.10. *Suppose* f *has kinematical support and* $\dim(\Pi_f) = km$*. The Pieri rule for the affine flag manifold conjectured in* [LLMS]*, and proved in* [Lee] *can be used to give a manifestly positive formula for the degree* $d_f = \deg(Z_f)$*.*

Specifically, the (dual) affine Pieri rule gives an identity

$$e_k \tilde{F}_f = \sum_g c^g_{k,f} \tilde{F}_g$$

where the nonnegative numbers $c^g_{k,f}$ count objects called strong strips [LLMS]. *Now, we have*

$$[s_{\ell^k}]\tilde{F}_f = [s_{(n-k)^k}](e_k)^m \tilde{F}_f$$

where $[s_{\ell^k}]\tilde{F}_f$ denotes the coefficient of s_{ℓ^k} when $\tilde{F}_f$ is expanded in terms of Schur functions. By [KLS, Theorem 7.8]*,*

$$[s_{(n-k)^k}]\tilde{F}_g = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in \mathrm{Bound}(k,n) \text{ and } \ell(g) = k(n-k) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $d_f = [s_{\ell^k}]\tilde{F}_f$ can be obtained by counting iterated strong strips.

The following result also gives a criterion for the degree of Z_f to be 1.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose f has kinematical support and $\tilde{F}_f = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda s_\lambda \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,n))$. Let $c = \gcd_{\mu \subset m^k}(c_{\mu^+\ell})$. Then the degree of Z_f divides c. In particular, if $c = 1$, then* $\deg(Z_f) = 1$.

For the next result, we will use the following version of Zariski's main theorem.

Theorem 4.12. *If Y is a quasi-compact separated scheme and $f : X \to Y$ is a separated, quasi-finite, finitely presented morphism then there is a factorization into $X \to Z \to Y$, where the first map is an open immersion and the second one is finite.*

The following result roughly says that taking boundaries reduces the degree. So the intuition is that lower-dimensional cells tend to have smaller degree. Denote by $\partial\Pi_f$ the *boundary* of a positroid variety Π_f. This is the union of all positroid varieties $\Pi_{f'} \subset \Pi_f$ of strictly lower dimension. For more details on the closure partial order of positroid varieties see [KLS, Pos].

Proposition 4.13. *Suppose $\Pi_{f'} \subset \partial\Pi_f$ and both f' and f have kinematical support. Then* $\deg(Z_{f'}) \leq \deg(Z_f)$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 3.3 to both $W = \Pi_f$ and $W = \Pi_{f'}$, we see that we may assume that we are considering $Z \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, k+m)$ such that $\Pi_f \setminus E_Z$ is dense in Π_f and $\Pi_{f'} \setminus E_Z$ is dense in $\Pi_{f'}$. We may suppose that Z_f has degree d_f and $Z_{f'}$ has degree $d_{f'}$ where both maps are dimension-preserving.

Let $V \subset (\Pi_f \setminus E_Z)$ consist of points $X \in \Pi_f \setminus E_Z$ where $Z_f^{-1}(Z_f(X))$ is finite. Since fiber dimension is upper semicontinuous on the source, the set V is open in $\Pi_f \setminus E_Z$. But $Z_{f'} = Z_f|_{\Pi_{f'} \setminus E_Z}$ so $V \cap (\Pi_{f'} \setminus E_Z)$ is open in $\Pi_{f'} \setminus E_Z$ as well.

By assumption $Z_f|_V$ is quasi-finite, so by Theorem 4.12, we have a factorization of $Z_f|_V$ as $V \to S \to Y_f$, where $V \to S$ is an open immersion and $S \to Y_f$ is finite. Clearly, $S \to Y_f$ has degree d_f. It follows that the typical fiber of $Z_f|_V$ has exactly d_f points, and every fiber of $Z_f|_V$ has $\leq d_f$ points. In particular, the typical fiber of $Z_{f'}$ has $\leq d_f$ points. Thus $d_{f'} \leq d_f$. □

A similar argument gives

Proposition 4.14. *Suppose $\Pi_{f'} \subset \partial\Pi_f$ and f does not have kinematical support. Then f' does not have kinematical support.*

4.5. **Application to the amplituhedron.** The totally nonnegative part $\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_{\geq 0}$ [Pos] of the real Grassmannian is the locus of points $X \in \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)(\mathbb{R})$ representable with nonnegative (real) Plücker coordinates $\Delta_I(X)$. The totally nonnegative part of Π_f is defined to be $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0} := \Pi_f \cap \mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_{\geq 0}$.

We say that Z is *positive* if all $(k+m) \times (k+m)$ minors are positive, and all entries are real. If Z is positive and general (that is, Z belongs to the Zariski-dense set U of Theorem 4.2), we define the TNN part of Y_f to be

$$(Y_f)_{\geq 0} := Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}((\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}).$$

As shown in [AT], it is not difficult to see that in this case $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}$ does not intersect E_Z.

Proposition 4.15. *Suppose f has kinematical support. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}((Y_f)_{\geq 0}) = \dim(Y_f)$.*

Proof. It is known [Pos] that $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}$ has real dimension equal to the complex dimension of Π_f. Since Π_f is irreducible (see [KLS]), it follows that $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}$ is Zariski-dense in Π_f. It follows that $(Y_f)_{\geq 0}$ is Zariski-dense in Y_f and thus $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}((Y_f)_{\geq 0}) = \dim(Y_f)$. □

Suppose f has kinematical support and Z_f has degree d_f, and assume that Z is positive. While the map Z_f has degree d_f, it is not the case that the map Z_f restricted to $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}$ is generically d_f to 1. It is an interesting problem to understand the geometry of the map Z_f when restricted to the real points $\Pi_f(\mathbb{R})$ or totally nonnegative points $(\Pi_f)_{\geq 0}$.

5. Some further directions

5.1. **Monomial description of truncated affine Stanley symmetric functions.** Since the truncated affine Stanley symmetric function $\tau_{k+m}(\tilde{F}_f)$ is Schur-positive, it is also monomial-positive. However, it is not clear which monomials in the definition of $\tilde{F}_f$ actually contribute to the truncation.

Problem 5.1. *Find a direct combinatorial description of the monomial expansion of $\tau_{k+m}(\tilde{F}_f)$.*

Presumably this involves selecting *some* of the cyclically decreasing factorizations of f to contribute to $\tau_{k+m}(\tilde{F}_f)$.

5.2. **Non-generic maps Z.** Our results only apply to generic $Z \in \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n, k+m)$. However, from the point of view of convex geometry, it is interesting to consider non-generic maps. Specifically, when $k = 1$, the totally nonnegative Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(1,n)_{\geq 0}$ is a simplex embedded in projective space, and thus *any* polytope P can

be expressed as the image $Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(\mathrm{Gr}(1,n)_{\geq 0})$ for some choice of Z. When Z is positive, P will be a cyclic polytope (see [Stu] for a related result).

Problem 5.2. *Compute the cohomology class* $[\overline{Z_{\mathrm{Gr}}(\Pi_f \setminus E_Z)}] \in H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(k,k+m))$ *for all* $Z \in \mathring{\mathrm{Mat}}(n,k+m)$.

A related, possibly easier, problem is the following.

Problem 5.3. *What is the cohomology class of* $X_I(F_\bullet) \cap X_J(G_\bullet)$ *when the two Schubert varieties are not in generic position?*

References

[ABGPT] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. L. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, A. B. Goncharov, A. Postnikov, and J. Trnka: Scattering Amplitudes and the Positive Grassmannian, preprint, 2012; arXiv:1212.5605.

[AT] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Trnka: The Amplituhedron, preprint, 2013; arXiv:1312.2007.

[Ful] W. Fulton: Young tableaux. With applications to representation theory and geometry. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. x+260 pp.

[Kle] S. L. Kleiman: The transversality of a general translate, Compositio Math. 28 (1974), 287–297.

[KLS] A. Knutson, T. Lam, and D. Speyer: Positroid varieties: juggling and geometry, Compositio Math. 149 (2013), 1710–1752.

[Lam] T. Lam: Affine Stanley symmetric functions, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006), 1553–1586.

[Lam+] T. Lam: Scattering amplitudes and canonical bases, in preparation.

[LLMS] T. Lam, L. Lapointe, J. Morse, and M. Shimozono: Affine insertion and Pieri rules for the affine Grassmannian. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 208 (2010), no. 977, xii+82 pp.

[Lee] S. Lee: Pieri rule for the affine flag variety, preprint, 2014; arXiv:1406.4246.

[Lus] G. Lusztig: Total positivity in reductive groups. Lie theory and geometry, 531–568, Progr. Math., 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.

[Pos] A. Postnikov: Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks, preprint. http://www-math.mit.edu/~apost/papers/tpgrass.pdf

[Stu] B. Sturmfels: Totally positive matrices and cyclic polytopes, Linear Alg. Appl. 107 (1988), 275–281.

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, 2074 East Hall, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, USA

E-mail address: tfylam@umich.edu